

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/512,621	Applicant(s) SEXTON ET AL.	
	Examiner Andy Ho	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) Andy Ho.

(2) Daniel D. Ledesma, Reg. No. 57,181.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

10 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


WILLIAM THOMSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims filed 1/9/2006 are allowable over the cited references. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issues in claims 10 and 17. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.



WILLIAM THOMSON
PATENT EXAMINER
CENTER 2100